

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
7 **FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**  
8

9 Ekaterina Kunitskaia,

No. CV-25-01846-PHX-DJH (ASB)

10 Petitioner,

**ORDER**

11 v.

12 David R Rivas,

13 Respondent.

14  
15 Petitioner filed this action on May 29, 2025, challenging the President's invocation  
16 of 8 U.S.C. § 212(f) to restrict her entry to the United States and her ability to seek asylum  
17 pursuant to 8 C.F.R. § 235.3. The Court ordered expedited briefing on her Petition. (Doc.  
18 4.) And while her Petition further requested emergency injunctive relief, the Court noted  
19 Petitioner did not file a separate motion outlining the relevant standard for seeking  
20 injunctive relief. (*Id.*) Petitioner has now filed a request to stay her removal pending  
21 resolution of her underlying petition. (Doc. 8.) The Court construes the request for a stay  
22 as a motion for temporary restraining order or preliminary injunction pursuant to Rule 65.

23 In its June 2, 2025, Order the Court noted a recent decision in this District  
24 determining “[t]he Proclamation [] likely lacks any statutory or constitutional authority  
25 and, resultingly, does not prevent Plaintiff from pursuing a final determination on her  
26 asylum claim.” *Fatemeh Tabatabaeifar, v. Kika Scott, et al.*, CV-25-01238-PHX-GMS  
27 (MTM), 2025 WL 1397114, at \*9 (D. Ariz. May 14, 2025). (Doc. 4.) The Court finds the  
28 decision in *Tabatabaeifar* persuasive. Because removal would deprive Petitioner of her

1 right to seek asylum, she has alleged that it is probable that she would suffer irreparable  
2 harm absent a stay. She has also shown that she has a substantial case on the merits, without  
3 prejudice to Respondents demonstrating the contrary. Lastly, the balance of hardships tips  
4 sharply in Petitioner's favor. *See Nken v. Holder*, 556 U.S. 418, 434 (2009). A stay will  
5 maintain the status quo until Respondents have had an opportunity to brief the Motion for  
6 Preliminary Injunction and will facilitate a considered review of the parties' arguments by  
7 the Court and a reasoned decision on the issues presented.

8 **IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** Petitioner's Motion for Stay of Removal  
9 (Doc. 8) is **granted**. Respondents are enjoined from removing Petitioner Ekaterina  
10 Kunitskaia (A# 249-141-136) from the United States pending further order of this Court.  
11 Petitioner's request for a Preliminary Injunction remains pending.

12 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** the Clerk of Court must immediately transmit by  
13 email a copy of this Order and a copy of the Petition to the United States Attorney for the  
14 District of Arizona, to the attention of Katherine Branch at katherine.branch@usdoj.gov,  
15 Melissa Kroeger at melissa.kroeger@usdoj.gov, and Lon Leavitt at lon.leavitt@usdoj.gov.

16 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** Counsel for Respondents must file a response to the  
17 Motion for Preliminary Injunction no later than Monday June 9, 2025. Petitioner may file  
18 a Reply no later than Wednesday, June 11, 2025.

19 Dated this 3rd day of June, 2025.

20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28



Honorable Diane J. Humetewa  
United States District Judge